United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,569	01/02/2002	Philip Atkin	GJEL:0003	5846
7590 02/06/2008 Michael G. Fletcher Fletcher, Yoder & Van Someren P.O. Box 692289 Houston, TX 77269-2289			EXAMINER	
			AGGARWAL, YOGESH K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		·	2622	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/038,569	ATKIN, PHILIP			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Yogesh K. Aggarwal ears on the cover sheet with the c				
Period for Reply		•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No					
,—	·—				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 11/26/2007 PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mann (US Patent # 5,828,793) in view of Krymski (US Patent # 7,209,166).

10/038,569 Art Unit: 2622

[Claim 1]

Mann discloses a method of creating an image with a still video camera (col. 11 lines 43-46, figure 8, element 202). Mann further teaches that the image is transferred to a computer to be stored on a main memory 210 represented as 212_1 , 212_2 , 213_3 etc. (col. 11 lines 46-54). Mann also teaches that the composite images formed from a series of input images wherein every pixel of the composite image is drawn from the corresponding pixel in each of the input source images according to a weighted average. The weighting is based on a certainty function associated with each source image pixel corresponding to an output pixel in the final composite image. The value of the relevant pixel parameter for a given final-image pixel (weighted average of n samples) is given by

$$\sum_{n} c_{n} P_{n} / \sum_{n} c_{n}$$

where c_n is the certainty function associated with the corresponding pixel of each source image n (col. 6 line 51-col. 7 line 8). It is noted that P_n (pixel parameter) is dependent upon exposure time, brightness or luminance and the gain of the system. Mann teaches that the resulting pixel image represented by the expression above is saved in a target buffer 250 whose contents are shown on screen display 234 (col. 12 lines 32-49). The features such as gamma correction (other image data) are also stored in the target image data (col. 13 lines 4-8).

Mann fails to teach explicitly obtaining a substantially linear representation of the image by combining two images. However Krymski teaches to write the image signal into the memory twice, first after short integration and then after long integration. Thus, after two operations of sampling, the resulted voltage in the memory will be a linear superposition of the two signals

Application/Control Number:

10/038,569

Art Unit: 2622

representing bright and dark image (Col. 3 lines 2-9, figures 1 and 3). Fig. 4 clearly teaches that combined signal is a substantial linear representation of the brightness (light intensity) of the image by combining two images. It is noted that that in order to obtain a wide dynamic range image the two long and short exposure images are combined so that the final image provides increased highlight detail despite the limited response of the system that produced the component images

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Mann and Krymski, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have obtained a substantially linear representation of the image by summing two images in order to obtain a wide dynamic range image so that the final image provides increased highlight detail despite the limited response of the system that produced the component images.

[Claim 3/1]

Mann teaches that the different images are color so that the offset will be color dependent (col. 13 lines 21-30).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2 and 3/2 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to teach or suggest a linear relationship is established between images recorded with different exposure times by the use of a perpendicular regression technique whereby each image is transformed to match the scale and offset of the first in the series and whereby the weighted average is calculated.

Application/Control Number:

10/038,569

Art Unit: 2622

5. Claim 3/2 is dependent upon claim 2.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on (571)-272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YKA February 3, 2007

LIN YE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5